R.R. No. 1276/06
Presented by Shakeel Ahmed
dated 31/3/06

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR
W.P.NO. 1699 / 2006

SINGLE BENCH

Petitioner : Smt.Vimla Bai Salame Aged about 31 years, W/o Late Shri Banshi Lal Salame, Resident of Kurubhatti, Post – Mohla, Tehsil – Mohla, Dist : Rajnandgaon-(C.G.) At present Village – Chuitola, Post – A-Chowki, Dist : Rajnandgaon-(C.G.)

Versus

Respondents :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through The Secretary
Tribal Welfare S.T / S.C and backward Development Department, Mantralaya at D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

2. The Commissioner,
Tribal Development Department,
Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

3. The Collector,
Rajnandgaon-(C.G.)

4. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through the Secretary,
Education Department, Mantralaya at Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Dist : Bhopal-(M.P.)

5. Union of India,
Through the Principle Secretary,
Department of Personal & Training & Public Grievances, Shastri Marg, New Delhi

The Asst. Commissioner
Tribal Development Department,
Dist : Rajnandgaon-(C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
31/3/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

31-3-06
THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(25)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. NO. 1699/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	3-4-2006 Shri Rakesh Anthoni, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri A.S. kachhawaha, Govt. Adv. for the State. Shri S.K. Beriwal, Sr. Panel Lawyer for Union of India. With consent, heard finally. This case relates to compassionate appointment. Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that petitioner's husband who was working as Asstt. Teacher in Education Department died on 15-801998 i.e. after 1-11-1997 and not earlier. It is further submitted that in batch of cases bearing W.P. No.1322/2002 involving the same question orders have been passed by this Court on 20/12/2005. He prays that similar orders may be passed in this case also. Learned counsel for the State does not dispute the above fact and states that the State of Chhattisgarh has taken a decision to consider the cases of dependants of those employees who died on or after 1/11/1997 strictly in accordance with the policy prevalent at that time. He however submits that four months time for issuing necessary orders may be given. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the State of Chhattisgarh and the authorities concerned to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in accordance with the policy prevalent at the time of death of the employee concerned. If the petitioner found eligible, appointment orders will be issued as early as possible preferably within 4 months from the date of production/receipt of a copy of this order. The petitioner is also directed to render due co-operation to the authorities. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

patelar

6-4-06

[पीछे देखिये